





Blood 142 (2023) 3675-3676

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

POSTER ABSTRACTS

901.HEALTH SERVICES AND QUALITY IMPROVEMENT - NON-MALIGNANT CONDITIONS

Distinguishing the Variances in Patient Care between Hematologists and Hospitalists for Individuals with Sickle Cell Disease Hospitalized Due to Vaso-Occlusive Crisis

Maroun Bou Zerdan, MD¹, Stephanie Niforatos, MD¹, Prashanth Ashok Kumar, MBBS², Alanna Siegenthaler³, Mulham Ombada⁴, Melanie Kirk⁵, Andrulisa Jones⁶, Bhavya Poudyal⁷, Elad Levine¹, Cathy Liu¹, Joseph Repicky⁸, Mahinbanu Mammadli⁹, Parth Sampat, MBBS¹, Mahnoor Sherazi¹⁰, Matthew Ramm⁴, Sanjay Rao Gergal Gopalakrishna Rao¹¹, Habib Layoun¹², Teresa Gentile, MD PhD¹³

¹ SUNY Upstate Medical University Hospital, Syracuse, NY

²Upstate Cancer Center, Division of Hematology/Oncology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, SYRACUSE, NY

³Suny Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY

⁴SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY

⁵SUNY Upstate medical university hospital, Syracuse, NY

⁶SUNY Upstate Medical university Hospital, Syracuse, NY

⁷ SUNY Upstate Medical University Hospital, syracuse, NY

⁸ SUNY Upstate Medical University Hospital, Syracuse, NY

⁹SUNY Upstate Medical University, syracuse, NM

¹⁰ SUNY Upstate Medical University Hospital, Syracuse

¹¹ SUNY Upstate Medical University Hospital, Syacuse

¹²Cleveleand Clinic, Cleveland, OH

¹³Upstate Cancer Center, Division of Hematology/Oncology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY

Introduction:

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is a chronic condition characterized by multiple vaso-occlusive complications. Patients often experience recurrent, severe pain that can be disabling and necessitate opioid treatment. Hospitalization for vaso-occlusive crisis (VOC) is a common occurrence for individuals with SCD. In recent times, the care of hospitalized SCD patients has increasingly shifted from adult hematology specialists to hospitalists. At our institution, we are yet to make this transition, with hospitalists rarely taking over the care of inpatients with SCD. Initially, recommendations to the hospitalist service were provided through informal opinions or formal consultations when requested.

Hospitalists have demonstrated efficient inpatient management; however, some may have knowledge gaps and biases that can influence their interactions with SCD patients. To gain a better understanding of the differences in care practices between hematologists and hospitalists and to identify potential areas for improvement in the care of SCD patients, we conducted a formal comparison of their approaches.

Methods: We performed a single-institution, retrospective review of patients with SCD hospitalized for VOC between January 1, 2014, and September 31, 2022.

Results: Table 1 portrays the significant results.

Conclusion: We observed significant distinctions in the care provided by hematologists and hospitalists to patients admitted for VOC in SCD. Hematologists tended to transfer fewer patients to the intensive care unit compared to hospitalists. On the other hand, hospitalists more frequently sought consultations from the pain service than hematologists. Interestingly, patients under the care of hematologists received longer hours of patient-controlled analgesia for pain management. Additionally, patients managed by hematologists exhibited higher hemoglobin levels at the time of discharge when compared to those treated by hospitalists.

Notably, only 39.8% of patients had a formal hematology consult requested during their hospital stay, indicating a potential need for better collaboration between hematologists and hospitalists. This study emphasizes the importance of enhancing cooperation between these two specialties to elevate the quality of care for patients hospitalized with VOC.

Disclosures No relevant conflicts of interest to declare.

| | Hematology | Hospitalist | P |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------|
| n | 1032 | 372 | |
| Age (mean (SD)) | 29.99 (12.10) | 35.44 (9.82) | <0.001 |
| Race (%) | | | <0.001 |
| African American | 834 (80.8) | 338 (90.9) | |
| Caucasian | 89 (8.6) | 5 (1.3) | |
| Other | 109 (10.6) | 29 (7.8) | |
| Charlson Deyo Cormorbidity Score (%) | | | <0.001 |
| 0 | 641 (62.1) | 181 (48.7) | |
| 1 | 194 (18.8) | 83 (22.3) | |
| 2 | 126 (12.2) | 63 (16.9) | |
| 3 | 52 (5.0) | 38 (10.2) | |
| 4 | 4 (0.4) | 6 (1.6) | |
| 5 | 15 (1.5) | 0 (0.0) | |
| 6 | 0 (0.0) | 1 (0.3) | |
| Female (%) | 451 (43.8) | 217 (58.3) | <0.001 |
| HBS % (mean (SD)) | 49.33 (23.97) | 49.49 (22.47) | 0.918 |
| hematology consulted (%) | NA | 148 (39.8) | NA |
| Transfer to Intensive Care Unit (%) | 30 (2.9) | 24 (6.5) | 0.004 |
| Length of stay in Intensive Care Unit (mean (SD)) | 0.51 (2.68) | 3.18 (9.24) | <0.001 |
| Pain service consulted (%) | 190 (18.4) | 94 (25.3) | 0.006 |
| Inpatient opioid dose (mean (SD)) | 772.45 (6836.37) | 482.37 (4123.31) | 0.452 |
| Home opioid dose at discharge (mean (SD)) | 322.36 (967.81) | 209.15 (695.62) | 0.041 |
| Ratio opioid dose (mean (SD)) | 1.30 (2.19) | 1.58 (8.93) | 0.398 |
| Hb admission (mean (SD)) | 9.29 (1.82) | 9.14 (1.92) | 0.172 |
| Hb discharge (mean (SD)) | 9.09 (1.69) | 8.31 (2.64) | <0.001 |
| Sequestration (%) | 5 (0.5) | 7 (1.9) | 0.029 |
| IVF on admission (%) | 1019 (98.8) | 346 (93.0) | <0.001 |
| Bowel regimen (%) | 816 (79.6) | 259 (70.0) | <0.001 |
| DVT prophylaxis (%) | 742 (73.5) | 295 (80.2) | 0.014 |
| clot dvt pe during admission (%) | 51 (5.0) | 35 (9.4) | 0.003 |
| PCA for pain control = 1 (%) | 619 (60.0) | 214 (57.7) | 0.465 |
| Total PCA hours (mean (SD)) | 94.81 (100.64) | 67.33 (71.57) | <0.001 |

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-186768